

In re: Lester R. Brodeur  
Filed: January 4, 2002  
Serial No.: 10/038,064  
Page 2

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of United States Patent No. 6,495,816.

Enclosed herewith is a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c). Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claim 5 has been indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the claims from which it depends. However, based on the terminal disclaimer discussed above, it is now believed that claim 4, from which claim 5 depends, is now in condition for allowance. As such, claim 5 should be allowable without amendments.

In re: Lester R. Brodeur  
Filed: January 4, 2002  
Serial No.: 10/038,064  
Page 3

In view of the foregoing disclaimer, it is respectfully urged that claims 1-7 be allowed. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, Applicants' Attorney of Record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Lester R. Brodeur

By



Daniel J. Bourque  
Registration No. 35,457  
Attorney for Applicant  
BOURQUE & ASSOCIATES, P.A.  
835 Hanover Street, Suite 301  
Manchester, New Hampshire 03104

Telephone: (603) 623-5111

Facsimile: (603) 624-1432

Date: 1-8-02